Medical Treatment of Aortic Aneurysms in Marfan Syndrome and other Heritable Conditions
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Abstract: Thoracic aortic aneurysms can be triggered by genetic disorders such as Marfan syndrome (MFS) and related aortic diseases as well as by inflammatory disorders such as giant cell arteritis or atherosclerosis. In all these conditions, cardiovascular risk factors, such as systemic arterial hypertension, may contribute to faster rate of aneurysm progression. Optimal medical management to prevent progressive aortic dilatation and aortic dissection is unknown. β-blockers have been the mainstay of medical treatment for many years despite limited evidence of beneficial effects. Recently, losartan, an angiotensin II type I receptor antagonist (ARB), has shown promising results in a mouse model of MFS and subsequently in humans with MFS and hence is increasingly used. Several ongoing trials comparing losartan to β-blockers and/or placebo will better define the role of ARBs in the near future. In addition, other medications, such as statins and tetracyclines have demonstrated potential benefit in experimental aortic aneurysm studies. Given the advances in our understanding of molecular mechanisms triggering aortic dilatation and dissection, individualized management tailored to the underlying genetic defect may be on the horizon of individualized medicine. We anticipate that ongoing research will address the question whether such genotype/pathogenesis-driven treatments can replace current phenotype/syndrome-driven strategies and whether other forms of aortopathies should be treated similarly. In this work, we review currently used and promising medical treatment options for patients with heritable aortic aneurysmal disorders.
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INTRODUCTION

Aortic aneurysm, with its first description as the cause of death of King George II in 1760 [1] is defined as aortic dilatation of greater than 50% of the normal diameter for age and body surface area and occurs most commonly due to medial degeneration of a localized portion of the aorta [2]. Thoracic aortic aneurysms (TAAs) are less common than abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAAs). It is thought that genetic predisposition has a higher impact in TAA than in AAA. Collagen, elastin, and fibrillin are main components of the aortic media [3] besides smooth muscle cells, fibroblasts and mucoid ground substance. Cardiovascular risk factors such as smoking [4], dyslipidemia, and hypertension [5] may also contribute to aortic aneurysm formation. Genetic causes of aortic aneurysms include Marfan syndrome (MFS; caused by FBN1 mutations) [6], Loys-Dietz syndrome (LDS; associated with mutations in the genes TGFBR1, TGFBR2, TGFB2, and SMAD3), aneurysms-osteoarthritis syndrome (AOS; caused by SMAD3 mutations), vascular Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (EDS IV; caused by COL3A1 mutations), familial thoracic aortic aneurysm/dissection (FTAAD; associated with ACTA2, MYH11, and MYLK mutations), cutis laxa syndrome (CL; associated with ELN and EFEMP2 mutations), aortic valve disease (AOVD1; caused by NOTCH1 mutations), arterial tortuosity syndrome (ATS; caused by SLC2A10 mutations), X-linked Alport syndrome (XLAS; caused by COL4A5 mutations), and Turner syndrome (45,X) as well as other congenital heart malformations [7-9]. Rarely, aortic aneurysms (AA) have also been associated with mutations in the genes COL1A1, COL1A2, MEDI2 or SMAD4 as well as medium-sized AAAs with mutations in the genes PLOD3, ENG, ACVRL1 or NF1.

Aortic aneurysms predispose to aortic dissection and/or rupture. The degree of aortic dilatation is an important risk factor related to these life-threatening conditions that account for most of the mortality associated with MFS [10]. The risk of aortic dissection and/or rupture increases significantly with increasing size and is estimated at 14% per year when the aortic size is >6.0 cm [11]. Some patients experience dissection or rupture at an aortic dimension less than 5.0 cm, particularly in some forms of inherited connective tissue
disorders, such as LDS and EDS IV. Indeed, patients with TGFBR1 or TGFBR2 mutation have been shown to dissect with aortic diameters well below 5.0 cm [12]. Similarly, mutations in the genes COL3A1, MYLK, and SMAD3 can result in aortic dissection and/or rupture with little to no aortic dilation [7, 8, 13].

Thus, it is recognized that measurement of the aortic dimension alone, without taking the underlying disease etiology into consideration, is not adequate for risk stratification and decision making. Another option is using the aortic size index (ASI), which is the dimension of the ascending aorta indexed to body surface area. In a retrospective study, using this ASI measurement 3 risk groups were identified. In Group 1, in which the aorta is less than 2.75 cm²/m², risk of aortic dissection or rupture is low, estimated at 4% per year. In Group 2 with aortic dimension from 2.75 to 4.24 cm²/m², the risk is moderate at approximately 8% per year. and in Group 3, in which the aorta measures more than 4.24 cm²/m², the risk is high (approximately 20% per year) [14]. However, the ASI values cited in this study are derived from a distinct population of TAA patients including - but certainly not limited to - MFS patients. Patients with congenital aortic malformations, such as coarctation of the aorta, were not included in this study.

The pathogenesis of aneurysm formation is multifactorial and includes medial degeneration, an inflammatory reaction, cellular proliferation/matrix degradation, and shear stress [15]. An important role has been attributed to reactive oxygen species which may activate matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [16], angiotensin II, cyclophilin A, TGF-β, osteoprotegerin, and tumor necrosis factors. The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) cascade has recently also been implicated in aneurysm formation [15]. Accordingly, inhibition of the pathway MAPK/ERK cascade with statins and ERK inhibitors has reduced aneurysm formation in experimental models [17, 18]. Similarly, increased TGF-β signaling in the arterial wall has recently been shown as a common pathological feature in MFS, LDS, FTAAD, and AOS, explaining and emphasizing the beneficial effects of TGF-β signaling inhibitors on aortic dilatation [19]. A schematic overview of signaling pathways including TGFBR1, TGFBR2, TGFβ, and SMAD3 (canonical TGF-β signaling) as well as ERK1/2 (non-canonical TGF-β signaling) is shown in Fig. (1).

Emergency surgical repair is the only current treatment option for ascending aortic dissection. Elective surgical aortic replacement is recommended to prevent dissection when the ascending aorta is markedly enlarged. Medical treatment ideally prevents or slows progressive aortic enlargement and reduces the risk of associated life-threatening complications. Thus, the main goal of medical treatment for aortopathies is to reduce structural changes within the aortic wall and to prevent aortic dilatation. These medical treatments will likely be most effective when given in an early stage of the disease. Lowering systemic blood pressure below 120-130 mmHg and decreasing cardiac contractility are also thought to be beneficial strategies to prevent progressive aortic dilatation. Although aortic wall stiffness may be increased in MFS [20] and other conditions such as bicuspid aortic valve (BAV) and FTAAD, stiffer aortas do not appear to be associated with increased aneurysm growth rate [21]. Whether medical treatment reducing aortic wall stiffness affects outcomes needs to be determined.

Several medical treatments have been studied for prevention or delay of aortic dilatation. These include β-blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), angiotensin II type I receptor (AGTR1) blockers (ARBs), statins, tetracyclines/macrolides, and ERK inhibitors. As turkeys tend to develop aortic dissection at the age of 7-24 weeks, the first medical therapeutic studies on aortic dissection prevention were done in this animal model and subsequently in humans. In randomized controlled trials, the antipsychotic and antihypertensive drug reserpine was shown to reduce mortality. Because reserpine may lead to depression and Parkinson disease in men, it is now rarely used in the management of systemic hypertension in humans. In this work, we will review currently used and promising medical treatment options for patients with heritable aortic aneurysmal disorders.

**β-BLOCKER THERAPY**

For decades β-blockers have been the mainstay of medical treatment of aortic aneurysms. Historically, β-blockers have been shown to reduce aortic aneurysm growth rate in turkey and human studies [22]. Although there is no significant change in central aortic pressure induced by β-blockers, a negative inotropic effect of β-blockers may reduce the amplitude of aortic wave reflections [23] and some improvement in elastic aortic properties has also been shown by the β-blocker atenolol.

Initially, efficacy of the β-blocker propranolol in decreasing the rate of aortic dilatation stems from a small randomized study of patients with MFS. Patients treated with propranolol (sample size = 32) had a 73% lower rate of aortic dilatation and lower mortality than placebo-treated patients [24]. In a subsequent randomized trial, propranolol was not found to significantly affect the growth rate of small AAs [25]. Leach et al. [26] treated 12 patients with AAs larger than 3 cm with β-blockers and compared to 15 untreated patients with no aneurysms, showing that the mean aortic diameter growth rate was significantly lower in patients taking β-blockers (0.17 cm/year versus 0.44 cm/year).

Some have suggested that ARBs and ACEIs may be more effective in maintaining aortic size in at-risk patients than β-blockers, however, this is still a matter of ongoing research and debate [27]. Although β-blockers may cause fatigue, erectile dysfunction, decrease in physical ability, depression, and an increase in body weight, which may adversely impact quality of life, β-blockers have been recommended in MFS patients with aortic dilatation and may be considered in patients with BAV and an aortic root diameter of >4 cm. However, a large meta-analysis did not show that β-blockers reduce mortality or the incidence of aortic dissection in patients with MFS [28].

Despite limited evidence for their efficacy, β-blockers are still widely used as first line therapy with an aim of preventing progression of aortic aneurysms in MFS and other disorders. The dosage of β-blockers should be adjusted depending on their effect. The heart rate after submaximal exercise...
should be <100 beats per minute. According to the ACC/AHA/AATS guidelines, β-blockers should be administered to all patients with MFS and aortic aneurysms unless contraindicated [29]. Hence, we currently add β-blockers in every patient with MFS and other conditions with a comparable or higher risk for aortic dissection such as LDS or AOS – especially in the presence of aortic dilatation with a z-score >2 and/or a positive family history for aortic dissection. In contrast, we do not routinely give β-blockers to normotensive patients with aortic dilatation in association with congenital heart disease such as BAV or tetralogy of Fallot.

**ANGIOTENSIN II TYPE I RECEPTOR BLOCKERS**

ARBs are currently a major source of optimism in the treatment and prevention of TAAs and, thus, may be more often used than β-blockers in the disease management of MFS. In 2006, the beneficial effect of the ARB losartan on aneurysm progression was demonstrated in a mouse model of MFS [30]. MFS mice showed increased TGF-β signaling which was prevented by the administration of both TGF-β-neutralizing antibodies and prenatal losartan. Similarly, losartan given to MFS mice at the age of 6 weeks improved elastic fiber organization, increased aortic breaking stress, improved the contractile function of the aorta and reduced MMP activation. The endothelial nitric oxide (NO) pathway, however, remained suppressed in the thoracic aorta, which might limit the long-term benefits of losartan in MFS [31, 32]. If given in MFS mouse model prenatally, losartan prevented elastic fiber fragmentation in the aortic media; if given postnatally, it improved the life span but did not affect aortic vessel wall structure. Evidence for the efficacy of losartan in humans stems from Brooke et al. in 18 young
patients with MFS [32]. In these initial case series, the rate of aortic root enlargement decreased considerably when comparing the time period prior and after the initiation of treatment with losartan.

Meanwhile, nearly a dozen trials with different designs and inclusion criteria have started or are being planned around the world in order to determine the effect of ARBs in MFS (e.g. Gambarin et al., 2009 [33]; Detaint et al., 2010 [34]; Radonic et al., 2010 [35]; Möberg et al., 2012 [36], s. Table 1). The next few years will therefore reveal whether ARBs will become the preferred therapeutic agent in MFS patients. Unfortunately, the trials use different ARBs (sartans), different drugs for comparison, and subjects of different ages. First results of the trials have re-

Table 1. Overview of clinical trials on pharmacological treatment of dilatation of the aorta in Marfan syndrome (ARB, β-blocker or both).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial identifier (reference)</th>
<th>Year trial started</th>
<th>Goal (study design)</th>
<th>Number of patients, time follow-up</th>
<th>Age group included</th>
<th>β-blocker (sample size, dosing)</th>
<th>ARB (sample size, dosing)</th>
<th>Outcome parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT00429364 (Lacro et al. [54])</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Comparing losartan vs. atenolol (randomized, single blind)</td>
<td>604 MFS patients, 3 years follow-up</td>
<td>6 months to 25 years and aortic root z-score &gt; 3.0</td>
<td>Atenolol (302), 0.5-4 mg/kg/day max. 250 mg/day</td>
<td>Losartan (302), 0.3-1.4 mg/kg/day max. 100 mg/day</td>
<td>Rate of change in aortic root BSA adjusted z-score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00651235 (Chin et al. [38])</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Efficacy of losartan added to β-blocker (randomized, open label)</td>
<td>28 MFS patients, 3 months of follow-up</td>
<td>≥1 year and aortic root z-score ≥ 2.0</td>
<td>β-blockers (13), atenolol or propranolol max. 150 mg/day for adults and 2 mg/kg/day for children</td>
<td>Losartan and β-blocker (15), 100 mg/day for adults and 50 mg/day for children</td>
<td>Change in aortic root diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00782327 (Möberg et al. [36])</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Additive effect of losartan and β-blocker (randomized, double blind)</td>
<td>174 MFS patients, 3 years follow-up</td>
<td>≥10 years and aortic root z-score ≥ 2</td>
<td>β-blocker and placebo (87), no data on dosing</td>
<td>Losartan and β-blocker (87), 25-50 mg/day below 50 kg or 50-100 mg/day over 50 kg</td>
<td>Decrease in aortic root growth rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00763893 (Detaint et al. [34])</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Efficacy of losartan vs. placebo (randomized, double blind)</td>
<td>300 MFS patients, 3 years follow-up</td>
<td>≥10 years</td>
<td>No β-blocker</td>
<td>Losartan (150) and placebo (150), 50 mg/day below 50 kg or 100 mg/day over 50 kg</td>
<td>Change in aortic root diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR1423 (Radonic et al. [35])</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Efficacy of losartan vs. not-treated controls (randomized, open label)</td>
<td>330 MFS patients, 3 years follow-up</td>
<td>≥18 years</td>
<td>No β-blockers (165) but patients continue taking their standard β-blocker treatment</td>
<td>Losartan (165), 50 mg/day (0-14 days) or 100 mg/day (&gt;14 days)</td>
<td>Change in aortic root diameter and skin gene expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01145612 (Fortezza et al. [55])</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Efficacy of losartan vs. atenolol (randomized, double blind)</td>
<td>150 MFS patients, 3 years follow-up</td>
<td>5-60 years and aortic diameter &lt;45 mm</td>
<td>Atenolol (75), 12.5 mg/day (0-14 days) and 25 mg/day (&gt;14 days) below 50 kg or 25 mg/day (0-14 days) and 50 mg/day (&gt;14 days) over 50 kg</td>
<td>Losartan (75), 12.5 mg/day (0-14 days) and 25 mg/day (&gt;14 days) below 50 kg or 25 mg/day (0-14 days) and 50 mg/day (&gt;14 days) over 50 kg</td>
<td>Progression of dilatation of the aortic valve annulus, sinuses of Valsalva, sinotubular junction, ascending aorta, aortic arch, thoracic and abdominal aorta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00683124 (Gambarin et al. [33])</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Effects of losartan vs. nebivolol vs. the combination of both (randomized, open label)</td>
<td>291 MFS patients with FBN1 mutation, 4 years follow-up</td>
<td>12 months to 55 years and aortic root z-score ≥ 2.5 but &lt;50 mm</td>
<td>Nebivolol (97 + 97 in combination?), max. 10 mg/day for adults and max. 0.16 mg/kg/day for children &lt;16 years</td>
<td>Losartan (97 + 97 in combination?), max. 100 mg/day for adults and max. 1.6 mg/kg/day for children &lt;16 years</td>
<td>BSA and age-adjusted aortic root diameter (sinuses of Valsalva), drug responsiveness (losartan: CYP2C9 gene, nebivolol: CYP2D6 gene)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00723801 (ClinicalTrials.gov)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Effects of losartan vs. atenolol on aortic stiffness (randomized, double blind)</td>
<td>50 MFS patients, 6 months follow-up</td>
<td>≥25 years</td>
<td>Atenolol (257), 50 mg/day</td>
<td>Losartan (257), 100 mg/day</td>
<td>Aortic biophysical properties and diastolic function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCT, ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier; NTR, Netherlands trial register; MFS, Marfan syndrome
cently been reported whereby NTR1423 (Table 1) showed that treatment with losartan reduced the dilatation of the aortic root and in patients after aortic root replacement – the growth of the aortic arch [37]. There was no significant difference in the need for prophylactic surgery and the study was not powered to detect an effect on the risk of aortic dissection or cardiovascular death. These findings have recently been supported by a smaller prospective study in 28 young patients with MFS (mean age 13.1 years, NCT00651235 in Table 1), showing that the addition of losartan to a β-blocker (atenolol or propranolol) in 15 patients versus β-blocker only in 13 patients reduced the rate of aortic dilatation significantly [38].

ACEIs inhibit the production of angiotensin II and thus reduce the signaling that occurs through both angiotensin II receptors (AGTR1 and AGTR2). There are no data on proving better long-term outcome with ARBs than ACEIs in patients with aneurysms. In a population-based case-control study from Canada involving patients with non-ruptured aortic aneurysms, patients receiving ACEIs had a decreased risk of AAA rupture compared to those who did not [39]. This effect was also seen in patients older than 75 years and in those with a history of hypertension [39].

On the basis of existing evidence, ACEIs and ARBs may have more beneficial effects than β-blockers on the progression of aortic dilatation in MFS [27]. Furthermore, losartan has proved to be superior to ACEI in protecting the structure of the aortic wall and preventing aortic root dilatation in MFS by blocking TGF-β mediated activation of ERK and preserving the beneficial angiotensin II type II receptor (AGTR2) pathway. However, in some patients ACEIs (dual AGTR1 and AGTR2 blockade) may nonetheless be more beneficial than ARBs (AGTR1 blockade) [40]. Importantly, ARBs and ACEIs are contraindicated during pregnancy due to teratogenicity.

Summarizing the available evidence, currently the treatment of TAA patients with a combination of an ARB plus a β-blocker might offer the best protection of aortic dilatation. Further data from randomized trials will become available in the near future and may elucidate whether ARB or ACEI will not only reduce aortic dilatation but may also affect the risk of aortic dissection and mortality in MFS patients [27].

**CALCIUM CHANNEL BLOCKERS**

There are limited data on the efficacy of CCBs in MFS. In a randomized, double-blind cross-over trial in 14 patients with MFS, the effect of the β-blocker atenolol, the ACEI perindopril and the calcium channel blocker (CCB) verapamil were compared [41]. The impact on peripheral and central systolic blood pressure in this study was equal, only atenolol slowed the heart rate and delayed aortic wave travel [41]. There may be some concerns with the use of CCBs in patients with MFS. At the American Heart Association Meeting 2012 data were presented showing that CCBs exacerbated aortic disease and caused premature lethality in MFS mice due to increased ERK activation [42]. Therefore, CCBs have to be used with caution in patients with MFS.

**STATINS**

Statins (3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitors) are primarily used to reduce cholesterol levels and thus reduce the progression of atherosclerosis through their lipid-lowering as well as through their so-called pleiotropic effects, and are one of the cornerstones in treatment of atherosclerotic disease. Due to their ability to reduce the expression of MMPs [43], there is the hope that statins may be helpful in the prevention of aortic dilatation as well. Reduction of the expansion rate of aortic aneurysms by statins has been reported in AAA, however in these AAA studies the aneurysms were still small in size [17, 44, 45]. Goel et al. reported that in 147 patients with BAVs the ascending aortic size was lower in the statin-treated group (76 patients) compared to the control group (71 patients) [46]. Multivariate analysis demonstrated statin use as the only independent factor that impacted aortic size and was even associated with a 0.33-cm reduction in aortic size (95% confidence interval 0.06 to 0.59, p <0.01) [46]. In a MFS mouse model with heterozygous Fbn1 mutation, mice were treated daily from the age of 6 weeks with pravastatin or losartan. Both, pravastatin and losartan resulted in a significant reduction in aortic root dilatation and both preserved elastic volume in the medial layer [47].

Although generally safe, statins can cause some adverse effects, such as hepatic dysfunction, myalgias, and rarely rhabdomyolysis. Given the lack of solid efficacy data, current guidelines do not recommend the use of statins for patients with aortopathies in the absence of dyslipidemia.

**TETRACYCLINES/MACROLIDES**

The activation of TGF-β leads to upregulation of MMPs (cf. Fig. 1), which increase aneurysm expansion. Doxycycline, a tetracycline, has been shown to delay aneurysm rupture in a mouse model [48]. Doxycycline-treated MFS mice lived longer compared to untreated mice and had decreased elastic fiber degradation paralleling lower MMP-2 and MMP-9 levels. There are also studies with the macrolide roxithromycin: 84 patients were randomized to an annual 4-week treatment with roxithromycin or placebo and those with roxithromycin had less progression of small AAAs and delayed need for surgical repair [49]. However, currently these results cannot be extrapolated to TAA and even less so to congenital aortopathies.

Of note, some data suggest that there might be gender differences. In one study, examining patients with AAAs, women had higher levels of MMP-9 compared to men with equally large AAAs. One might speculate that MMPs may serve as a biomarker related to the sex differences in aeurysm development [50].

**ESTROGENS**

Some of the differences between genders may be attributed to estradiol as well. Treatment with estradiol has been shown to prevent AAA development by inhibiting proteolytic activity in the aortic wall [51]. Ethinyl estradiol is occasionally given to girls with MFS to limit somatic growth [52]. It is possible that this treatment may have a beneficial impact on aortic growth rate. However, it has not been estab-
lished that estrogen deficiency, which is frequently observed in patients with Turner syndrome, increases the risk of aortic dissection.

ERK INHIBITORS

ERKs (ERK1 and ERK2) are involved in cell signaling that may cause aortic aneurysms and dissections. It has been demonstrated in the aorta of fibrillin-1 deficient mice that TGF-β and AGTR1-dependent activation of ERKs is involved in TAA and that pathologic aortic root growth can be abrogated by treatment with a specific ERK inhibitor [18, 53]. In contrast to ACEIs, losartan can inhibit TGF-β mediated ERK activation by allowing continued signaling through AGTR2, which is protective. If losartan treatment is without beneficial effect, ERK inhibitors as well as AGTR2 agonists could help, but these novel treatment options need further experimental validation in appropriate mouse models before translation to patients can be realized.

ONGOING STUDIES

Most of the pertinent questions will only be answered by the ongoing and future studies comparing currently used therapies and novel approaches. Some of the ongoing trials are summarized in Table 1. The largest ongoing trial is funded by the NIH and organized by the Pediatric Heart Network, comparing the effect of atenolol versus losartan in young patients with MFS and aortic dilatation [54]. Another ongoing study is the Ghent Marfan Trial, a randomized, double-blind placebo controlled trial with losartan versus placebo in patients already treated with β-blockers aiming to enroll 174 patients, aged ≥10 years and with an aortic z-score ≥2 [36]. The patients will be followed for 3 years at 6 monthly intervals [36]. In an Italian study, Gambarin et al. will include 291 patients with MFS and proven FBN1 mutations with aortic root dilatation and a z-score of ≥2.5 in an open label phase 3 study [33]. They will compare losartan and the β-blocker nebivolol or a combination of both on the progression of aortic growth rate [33]. This study will have the advantage of a study focused on patients with proven FBN1 mutation. A French research team is studying 300 patients at least 10-year-old fulfilling the Ghent criteria for MFS [34]. The effect of losartan will be assessed in a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded trial. Patients will be enrolled for 2 years with a 3-year-follow-up. Forteza et al. [55] are conducting a double-blind trial with 150 patients (5-60-year-old) with MFS according to the Ghent criteria comparing atenolol versus losartan.

We eagerly anticipate the results of these studies which will shed additional insight on the most pressing questions we have regarding medical treatment in our patients with aortopathies. It will be important to elucidate whether treatment effects are best when agents are given early prior to aortic dilatation or if these agents remain effective in MFS patients with dilated aortas.

CARDIOVASCULAR RISK FACTORS AND SLEEP APNEA

Smoking induces an increased collagenase activity in human aortic aneurysm walls, particularly in larger or ruptured aneurysms. Smokers have an increased elastolytic activity in polymorphonuclear leukocytes [56]. Hypertension is also a risk factor for aneurysm rupture based on the law of Laplace. It is important to achieve good blood pressure control in all patients with aortic aneurysms. Obesity is also quite common in patients with MFS, an increased body mass index is found in 36% of these patients [57]. Overall, it is essential that patients with MFS or related connective tissue disorders and patients with aortopathy in association with congenital heart disease have optimal control of all cardiovascular risk factors. Recommendations for blood pressure control in patients with connective tissue disorders advise a systolic blood pressure of <130 mmHg in MFS/LDS and <120 mmHg in EDS IV, being applicable to other aortopathies as well (see emergency guidelines at www.orpha.net). In addition, the incidence of obstructive sleep apnea is increased in MFS and has been reported to occur in about 30% of such cases [58]. Furthermore, sleep apnea can increase the incidence of hypertension, atrial fibrillation, and heart failure in MFS patients.

AORTIC ANEURYSMS IN CONGENITAL HEART DISEASE

Aortopathy in various forms of congenital heart disease has been reported. Aortic aneurysms occur frequently in patients with BAV [59], aortic coarctation [60], conotruncal abnormalities [61], and Turner syndrome [62]. Many of the latter patients also have BAV and/or coarctation. It is currently not known how many patients with BAV have mutation in the TGFBR1, TGFBR2 or FBN1 gene. There are only few reported cases of patients with aortic aneurysm in the setting of BAV with known genetic defects. However, there is also evidence that hemodynamic perturbations also play a role in the development of aortopathy in patients with BAV [63]. Besides, there is a genotype-phenotype correlation: it was recently reported that patients with BAV and prominent valve calcification and dysfunction with a low penetrance of aortic aneurysm are more likely to have NOTCH1 mutation compared to those with non-calcified BAV and highly penetrant aortic aneurysms [64]. Although overall the risk of aortic dissection in patients with BAV is low, over a 25-year period, in a study by the Mayo Clinic, there was a 25% risk of aortic surgery and 26% risk of aortic aneurysm formation [65]. Even in the absence of data from randomized trials, it may be considered to use a β-blockers and/or an ARB to slow aortic root growth in BAV patients. Unfortunately, there are no data on medical prevention of aortic dilatation in coarctation, conotruncal abnormalities, and patients with Turner syndrome.

MEDICAL TREATMENT ADJUSTED TO THE UNDERLYING GENETIC DEFECT

As reviewed above, patients with aortic aneurysm of different etiologies may respond differently to medical treatment. For example, whereas patients with mutations leading to increased level of TGF-β could benefit from a treatment with the ARB drug losartan, patients with mutations leading to increased proteolysis in the aortic wall may respond to a drug therapy with the MMP inhibitor doxycycline (cf. Fig. 1). Currently, patients with FBN1 mutation
can benefit from the combination therapy of losartan and β-blocker. In the future, not only the mutated gene itself but also the nature of mutation could be of therapeutic importance. Accordingly, patients with heterozygous mutations leading to true or functional haploinsufficiency (i.e. one allele loses functionality) could benefit from medical treatments that increase expression of the normal allele and/or enable the read-through of premature termination codons in the case of functional haploinsufficiency due to nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. Thus, one may hope in the future that by elucidating the underlying genetic defect the most appropriate medical treatment can be targeted to each individual patient. By using high throughput targeted, whole-exome or whole-genome sequencing, the technical ease with which to determine a genetic mutation has increased. The gene defects usually sought in patients with a thoracic aneurysm are shown in Table 2 and include FBN1, TGFBR1, TGFBR2, TGFBR2, SMAD3, ACTA2, MYH11, and MYLK. Notably, the identification of the underlying genetic defect is very important for the most appropriate surveillance and management of affected relatives as well.

**CURRENT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR OPERATIVE AORTIC ROOT REPLACEMENT**

Guidelines for aortic root replacement depend on different factors such as the underlying etiology of aortic aneurysm and associated valvular heart disease, planned pregnancy, family history of aortic dissection, and aortic growth. In Table 3, some of the current recommendations for aortic root surgery are summarized. Apart from the actual size of the aortic diameter, also the rate of change is important for decision-making in terms of prophylactic operation and the frequency of follow-up. It is important to be aware of the different ways and recommendations to measure aortic size (e.g. inner edge to inner edge versus leading edge to leading edge; echocardiography versus cardiac magnetic resonance).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder/syndrome</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>Prevalence (incidence)</th>
<th>Aortic aneurysm</th>
<th>Early aortic dissection</th>
<th>Arterial tortuosity</th>
<th>Other cardiovascular features</th>
<th>Gene (karyotype)</th>
<th>Pathway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marfan syndrome</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>~1:5,000</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>IA, MVP</td>
<td>FBN1</td>
<td>TGF-ß</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFBR1/TGFBR2-related Loeys-Dietz syndrome (LDS) and thoracic aortic aneurysm/dissection (TAAD)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>BAV, IA, MVP</td>
<td>TGFBR1, TGFBR2</td>
<td>TGF-ß</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAD3-related LDS, TAAD, and aneurysms-osteoarthritis syndrome</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++ / +++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>BAV, IA, MVP</td>
<td>SMAD3</td>
<td>TGF-ß</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFBR2-related LDS and TAAD</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>++ / +++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>BAV, MVP</td>
<td>TGFBR2</td>
<td>TGF-ß</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTA2-, MYH11-, and MYLK-related TAAD</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BAV, CAD (ACTA2), PDA</td>
<td>ACTA2, MYH11, MYLK</td>
<td>IGF-1, Ang II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, vascular type (EDS IV)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>~1:50,000</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++ (rupture)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IA, MVP</td>
<td>COL3A1</td>
<td>collagen metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, kyphoscoliotic form (EDS VIA)</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>(~1:100,000)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++ (rupture)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>MVP</td>
<td>PLOD1</td>
<td>collagen metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTP111-related Noonan and LEOP-ARD syndromes</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>~1:2,000</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>pulmonary valve stenosis</td>
<td>PTP11</td>
<td>RAS-MEK-ERK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Table 2) contd....

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder/syndrome</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>Prevalence (incidence)</th>
<th>Aortic aneurysm</th>
<th>Early aortic dissection</th>
<th>Arterial tortuosity</th>
<th>Other cardiovascular features</th>
<th>Gene (karyotype)</th>
<th>Pathway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAG1-related Alagille syndrome</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>(1:70,000)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>pulmonary valve stenosis, COA, IA, TOF</td>
<td>JAG1</td>
<td>NOTCH1-JAGGED1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic valve disease</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BAV with valve calcification/dysfunction</td>
<td>NOTCH1</td>
<td>NOTCH1-JAGGED1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital contractural arachnodactyly</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>atrial/ventricular septal defects, MVP</td>
<td>FBN2</td>
<td>TGF-β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK1-related Shprintzen-Goldberg syndrome</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MVP, splenic artery aneurysm</td>
<td>SK1</td>
<td>TGF-β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELN-related cutis laxa</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>(&lt;1:4,000,000)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ELN</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFEMP2-related cutis laxa</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>(&lt;1:4,000,000)</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>arterial stenoses</td>
<td>EFEMP2</td>
<td>TGF-β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial tortuosity syndrome</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>arterial stenoses</td>
<td>SLC2A10</td>
<td>TGF-β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLNA-related periventricular heterotopia</td>
<td>XL</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BAV, PDA</td>
<td>FLNA</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabry disease, cardiac variant</td>
<td>XL</td>
<td>(~1:3,000)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>HCM</td>
<td>GLA</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-linked Alport syndrome</td>
<td>XL</td>
<td>(&lt;1:50,000)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>COL4A5</td>
<td>collagen metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner syndrome</td>
<td>sporadic</td>
<td>(1:2,000)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BAV, COA, IA, LVOTO</td>
<td>(45,X)</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Comment: Earlier prior to pregnancy, positive family history for aortic dissection, rapid growth of the aorta (>5 mm/year), associated aortic valve disease, maximum aortic cross-sectional area/body height >10 cm²/m.

Table 3. Current guidelines for operative aortic root replacement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder/syndrome</th>
<th>Aortic root replacement if size is larger than*</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marfan syndrome</td>
<td>5 cm</td>
<td>[6, 29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFB1/TGFB2-related Loeys-Dietz syndrome (LDS) and thoracic aortic aneurysm/dissection (TAAD)</td>
<td>4.4-2.0 cm (internal diameter) or 4.4-4.6 cm CT and/or MRI</td>
<td>[29, 66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAD3-related LDS, TAAD, and aneurysms-osteoarthritsis syndrome; TGFB2-related LDS and TAAD</td>
<td>No data, may similar to LDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTA2-, MYH11-, and MYLK-related TAAD; Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, vascular type (EDS IV); Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, kyphoscoliotic form (EDS VIA); FTP11-related Noonan and LEOPARD syndromes; JAG1-related Alagille syndrome</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner syndrome</td>
<td>4.5-5 cm (aorta &gt;2.5 cm/m²)</td>
<td>[67, 68]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BAV, bicuspid aortic valve; CAD, coronary artery disease; COA, coarctation of the aorta; HCM, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; IA, intracranial aneurysms; LVOTO, left ventricular outflow tract obstruction; MVP, mitral valve prolapse; PDA, patent ductus arteriosus; TOF, tetralogy of Fallot; -, absent or not observed/reported; +, sporadic; ++, common; ++++, typical; AD, autosomal dominant; AR, autosomal recessive; XL, X-linked; XLD, X-linked dominant.
CONCLUSION

Within the last two decades, knowledge of the genetic causes and therapeutic targets for patients with the propensity to develop an aortic aneurysm has grown substantially. However, the optimal choice of medical treatment is still largely unknown. The ongoing prospective trials, including double-blind comparison of β-blockers versus losartan should provide some clarification regarding the optimal therapy in certain patient groups in the near future. It appears that TGF-β mediated ERK activation emerges as the predominant driver of aneurysm progression and that the beneficial effect of losartan and ERK-specific inhibitors depends on the ERK signaling status. However, large-scale controlled studies are required to confirm this beneficial effect.

In the current era, all patients with thoracic aortic aneurysms require multidisciplinary care which should include meticulous monitoring of aortic dimension by non-invasive imaging, optimization of cardiovascular risk factors, screening of first degree relatives and prophylactic operative intervention. It appears that the majority of patients will also benefit from initiation of medical therapy with β-blocker and/or ARB, especially if the aortic aneurysm is genetically triggered. We anticipate the day that each aortic aneurysm patient will receive a specific genetic diagnosis and individualized therapy specific for that diagnosis.
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